

RHAETIAN FORAMINIFERS FROM THE WESTERN BLACK SEA SHELF: NEW EVIDENCE FOR HETEROZOAN CARBONATE FACTORIES IN THE PALAEO-TETHYS

LUKA GALE^{1,2*}, EUGEN GRĂDINARU^{3*}, TEA KOLAR-JURKOVŠEK²,
MARIE-BÉATRICE FOREL⁴ & LIDIJA KORAT⁵

¹Department of Geology, University of Ljubljana, Aškerčeva ulica 12, 1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia. E-mail: luka.gale@ntf.uni-lj.si

²Geological Survey of Slovenia, Dimičeva ulica 14, 1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia. E-mails: luka.gale@geo-zs.si; tea.kolar-jurkovsek@geo-zs.si

³Department of Geology, Faculty of Geology and Geophysics, University of Bucharest, Blvd Bălcescu Nicolae 1, RO-010041, Bucharest, Romania. E-mail: eugen.gradinaru@g.unibuc.ro

⁴Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle, CR2P, MNHN-CNRS-SU, 8 rue Buffon (CP38), 75005 Paris, France.

E-mail: marie-beatrice.forel@mnhn.fr

⁵Lidija Korat: Slovenian National Building and Civil Engineering Institute, Dimičeva ulica 12, 1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia.

E-mail: lidija.korat@zag.si

*Corresponding Authors.

To cite this article: Gale L., Grădinaru E., Kolar-Jurkovšek T., Forel M.-B. & Korat L. (2021) - Rhaetian foraminifers from the western Black Sea shelf: new evidence for heterozoan carbonate factories in the Palaeotethys. *Riv. It. Paleontol. Strat.*, 127(3): 673–687.

Keywords: Romania; North Dobrogean Orogen; Upper Triassic; olistolith; foraminifer; ostracod; palaeoecology.

Abstract. The North Dobrogean Orogen (NDO) is a NW-SE trending fold-and-thrust belt in the eastern foreland of the Alpine Carpathian Orogen, palaeogeographically representing the westernmost segment of the Palaeotethys-issued Cimmeride Orogenic System. Eastwards, the NDO structurally extends into the Romanian sector of the western Black Sea continental shelf. The Triassic development of North Dobrogea is well known for its Tethyan-type facies and richness in various groups of fossils, but little attention has been paid to microfacies and fossil content of the offshore Triassic. The drill hole 817 LV of the Lebăda Vest oilfield, offshore Romania, ends in the Rhaetian (Upper Triassic) limestone olistolith, from which a rich association of foraminifers and ostracods was recovered. The limestone also contains sponge spicules, mollusc fragments, echinoderm ossicles, bryozoans, and brachiopods. Foraminiferal assemblage from the residue consists of agglutinated species only. Tolyppaminids, *Gaudryinopsis triadica* (Kristan-Tollmann), *G. triassica* (Trifonova), *G. kelleri* (Tappan), *Ammobaculites tzankovi* (Trifonova), *A. zlambackensis* Kristan-Tollmann, *Verneuilinoides racema* (Trifonova), and *Trochammina* spp. predominate. Non-agglutinated species, determined from thin sections include *Ophthalmidium* spp., and rare involutinids *Trocholina* ex gr. *intermedia/umbo* and “*Involutina turgida*” (*Involutina* ex gr. *liassica*). The rich ostracod assemblage is dominated by Bairdiidae. Species of the Paracyprididae and Sigilliidae families are rather common. The conodont *Norigondolella steinbergensis* (Mosher) was also found. The deposition is suggested to take place in a relatively deep setting (outer shelf) offshore heterozoan-dominated platform in relatively cool waters.

INTRODUCTION

Following a drastic decline in the diversity of calcareous taxa at the end of the Permian (Groves et al. 2007; Payne & Clapham 2012; Rego et al. 2012), foraminifers exhibited a delayed reco-

very during the Middle Triassic, and only reached pre-extinction levels of generic diversity during the Late Triassic, more than 20 million years later (Groves & Altiner 2005; Payne et al. 2011). Many Norian and Rhaetian foraminiferal species and genera display facies dependencies and may thus be used as indicators of relative position along the carbonate platform–basin transects (e.g., Hoheneg-

Received: December 8, 2020; accepted: August 18, 2021




Fig. 1 - Palaeogeography of the western Tethys domain during the Late Triassic (Norian). Modified after Kovács et al. (2011).

ger & Lobitzer 1971; Hohenegger & Piller 1975; Senowbari-Daryan 1980; Chablais et al. 2011). Relations between foraminiferal species and relative palaeodepth, however, are only established for tropical and subtropical carbonate platforms of the Dachstein type, while the composition of foraminiferal assemblages in heterozoan carbonates outside the western Neotethys and Panthalassa Oceans remains poorly known and understudied (Gale et al. 2020). New cases of foraminiferal assemblages from heterozoan carbonates are thus important for setting up a model of the foraminiferal palaeodepth distribution along heterozoan-dominated platforms and ramps.

The North Dobrogean Orogen (NDO) represents the westernmost segment of the Palaeotethys-issued Cimmeride Orogenic System (Fig. 1). Triassic sedimentary series, unconformably overlying the Variscan basement, generally show transitions from the shallow-marine carbonate platform in the east to the deep-marine basinal facies in the west (Grădinaru 1995, 2000). According to Stampfli and Kozur (2006), and Kovács et al. (2011), sedimentation took place at the active margin of the Laurasian part of the Pangea during the Late Triassic situated between 35° (early

Norian) and 50° (latest Rhaetian) of the northern palaeolatitude (Stampfli & Kozur 2006). Data on Upper Triassic foraminiferal assemblages from the North Dobrogea is scarce. Mirăuță and Gheorghian (1975) determined foraminifers from the upper Carnian to upper Norian hemipelagic limestones in the Cilic Valley south of Rîndunica and in the Muchea Verde Hill. The assemblage largely consists of agglutinated taxa and Lagenida, and a single species of *Variostoma*. From the Carnian – Norian of Dobrogea, Salaj et al. (1988) described two contrasting foraminiferal assemblages. The first type mostly consists of Variostomatidae and *Spiroplectammina dobrodžiana* Trifonova, while the second type of the assemblage is characterised by Nubeculariidae and Miliolidae. From the Norian part of the Cataloi Formation, Mirăuță et al. (1993) described *Hyperammmina stabilis* Blumenstengel, *Endothyranella* sp., *Ophthalmidium lucidum* Trifonova, *Ophthalmidium* sp., *Gheorghianina vujisici* (Urošević & Gaździcki), *Textularia jurassica* (Gümbel), *Variostoma helicta* (Tappan), *Paraophthalmidium* sp., and “*Vidalina*” sp. Lower Carnian beds from the same locality contained an assemblage composed of “*Vidalina*” sp., *Gheorghianina anae* (Gheorghian), *G. vujisici* (Urošević & Gaździcki), *Turrioglobina meso-*

triassica (Koehn-Zaninetti), *Ammobaculites tʒankovi* (Trifonova), “*Glomospira*” sp., and *Ophthalmidium lucidum* Trifonova. The topmost Triassic foraminiferal assemblage reported by Mirăuṭă et al. (1993) comes from the Teliṭa Formation, which was later dated by Grădinaru (1984) as Rhaetian in age due the occurrence of the bivalve *Otapiria marshalli alpina* Zapfe. The assemblage includes “*Vidalina*” sp., *Ophthalmidium fusiforme* (Trifonova), *Ophthalmidium* sp., and frequently Tolypamminidae.

Eastwards, the North Dobrogean Orogen extends structurally into the Romanian sector of the western Black Sea continental shelf, where Triassic rocks have been observed and sampled in a few boreholes (Grădinaru et al. 1989), including the 817 LV borehole, from which Gheorghian (in Grădinaru et al. 1989) described, but not depicted, a moderately diverse Late Triassic foraminiferal assemblage. The assemblage was originally described as consisting of *Jaculella* sp. cf. *expansa* (Plummer), *Glomospira charoides* (Jones & Parker), *Ammobaculites tʒankovi* (Trifonova), *Gaudryinella kotlensis* Trifonova, *G. kelleri* Tappan, *Trochammina alpina* Kristan-Tollmann, *Reophax rudis* Kristan-Tollmann, *Tetrataxis* sp., *Cyclogyra* sp. cf. *pachygyra* (Gümbel), *Oberhauserella norica* Fuchs and several other specimens belonging to Oberhauserellidae, *Plagioraphe tornata* Kristan-Tollmann, and *Pseudobolivina tornata* Kristan-Tollmann. The conodonts *Norigondolella steinbergensis* (Mosher) and *Oncodella paucidentata* (Mostler) were also documented (Mirăuṭă in Grădinaru et al. 1989).

In the present contribution, we reinvestigated the Upper Triassic limestone sampled from the drill hole 817 LV of the Lebăda Vest oilfield. The core is important from the standpoint of its microfacies, and especially for its outstanding content of Rhaetian microfossils. A rich assemblage of ostracods and foraminifers, and a few conodonts were collected from the residue after cold and hot acetolysis. Whereas the ostracod assemblage is here only briefly mentioned (see Forel & Grădinaru 2020 for full study), the focus of the present work is on foraminifers. New determinations of foraminifers revise previous determinations done by Gheorghian (in Grădinaru et al. 1989). We highlight the specific composition of the described foraminiferal assemblage as an example of foraminiferal assemblage from the non-tropical heterozoan-dominated carbonate platform.

STRUCTURAL SETTING AND STRATIGRAPHIC DATA

The North Dobrogean Orogen (NDO) is a NW-SE trending fold-and-thrust belt located south of the Danube Delta in the eastern foreland of the Alpine Carpathian Orogen (Săndulescu 1984, 1995). The NDO (Fig. 2) palaeogeographically represents the westernmost segment of the Palaeotethys-issued Cimmeride Orogenic System (Săndulescu 1984, 1994), which extends further eastwards into the Mountainous Crimea, the Greater Caucasus, and into the Asian Cimmerides (Şengör 1984, 1986). The NDO is separated from the Scythian Platform to the north by the Sfântu Gheorghe Fault, and from the Moesian Platform to the south by the Peceneaga-Camena Fault.

Whereas in the Scythian and Moesian platforms the Triassic is of Germanic type (Paraschiv 1979; Pătruṭ et al. 1983), the Triassic of the North Dobrogean Orogen is of Tethyan type (Peters 1867; Arthaber 1906; Kittl 1908; Simionescu 1925, 1927). The NDO is a pile of NE-verging imbricated thrust sheets encompassing the Variscan basement and its Cimmerian sedimentary cover. The thrust sheets are grouped into Măcin, Consul, Niculiṭel, and Tulcea nappes (Visarion et al. 1990). The Măcin nappe comprises mostly Proterozoic and Palaeozoic formations, the Variscan basement (Seghedi 2001, 2012; Balintoni et al. 2010, Balintoni & Balica 2016), and a narrow strip of Triassic and Jurassic sedimentary and volcanic rocks along the northern side of the Peceneaga-Camena Fault (Grădinaru 1988, 2006). Instead, the Triassic and Jurassic sedimentary and volcanic rocks, representing the Cimmerian cover, dominate the exposed parts of the remaining three nappes (Grădinaru 1995, 2000). The Consul Unit has Early and Middle Triassic carbonate rocks intruded or interlayered by rhyolitic lavas (Seghedi et al. 1990). The Niculiṭel Unit, which has a median position, is characterized by Early-Middle Triassic sub-oceanic deep-water cherty-carbonate sediments interlayered or intruded by ophiolitic rocks with intra-continental geochemistry (Savu 1986; Seghedi 2001; Saccani et al. 2004). The succession is then followed by Upper Triassic to (possibly) Lower Jurassic siliciclastic turbidites (Grădinaru 1995, 2000). In the Tulcea Unit, the Palaeozoic arc- and fore-arc sedimentary and magmatic rocks of the Variscan basement (Seghedi & Oaie 1995; Seghedi 2012) are



Fig. 2 - Tectonostratigraphic map of the North Dobrogean Orogen, showing the distribution of Triassic rocks and the location of the boreholes on the western Black Sea shelf that drilled into the Triassic. MU: Măcin Unit, CU: Consul Unit, NU: Niculițel Unit, TU: Tulcea Unit (modified and completed after Grădinaru 2000). 1-3: onshore occurrences of the Upper Triassic at Rândunica, Muchea Verde and Frecăței. Inset map (a) shows the location of the North Dobrogean Orogen. Inset map (b) shows the location of the study area on the Western Black Sea shelf. After Forel and Grădinaru (2020).

unconformably overlain by a thick succession of Triassic and Jurassic sedimentary series (Grădinaru 1984, 1995, 2000).

The Triassic sedimentary series has the largest and the most representative development in the Tulcea Unit, where it starts with the lower Spathian transgressive terrigenous deposits. Upwards, the upper Spathian to Rhaetian carbonate facies show transitions from the shallow-marine carbonate platform in the east to the deep-marine basinal carbonate facies in the west, the latter locally bearing Upper Triassic siliciclastic turbidites (Grădinaru 1995, 2000).

Eastwards, the North Dobrogean Orogen structurally extends into the Romanian sector of the western Black Sea continental shelf, where the Triassic rocks have been met and sampled in a few boreholes (Grădinaru et al. 1989; Cătuneanu & Maftai 1994; Țambrea et al. 2002; Dinu et al. 2005). The lithofacies of the Triassic rocks drilled on the Romanian western shelf of the Black Sea, such as those sampled in the boreholes 2 Razelm, 3 Razelm, 50 Venus or 24 Poseidon, are comparable to facies

known in the onshore North Dobrogean Triassic successions. In other cases, such as for boreholes 814, 816 and 817 Leabăda Vest, and 30 Sinoe, the lithofacies of the sampled Triassic rocks do not match any of the known onshore North Dobrogean Triassic successions (Grădinaru et al. 1989). Except for the boreholes 2 Razelm and 3 Razelm that were drilled on the shore of the Black Sea, all other boreholes are located in the area of the Histria Depression rimmed by the so-called Euxinic threshold, i.e. the outer margin of the Paleogene shelf (e.g. Dinu et al. 1989, 2005) (Fig. 2).

The Triassic limestone in the studied 817 LV (Leabăda Vest) borehole was reached at a depth of 2620 m after drilling through a thick series of Middle Jurassic argillites (Fig. 3). The drilling continued for 5 m more into the limestone and was then stopped. The limestone (a 2-m thick drill core from depths 2623 m to 2625 m, labelled CM31) is light grey-cream in colour, compact and hard, with splintery cracking and thin veins of calcite or black clay. The limestone is macroscopically homogenous throughout the core's length and does not display




Fig. 3 - Stratigraphic log of the borehole 817 Lebađa Vest, Romanian Western Black Sea continental shelf.

any sedimentary structure, implying that it was drilled more or less along bedding. Numerous limestone clasts, some cm to dm in size, together with clasts of a volcanic origin, packed in slickensided Middle Jurassic black argillites, were encountered in the last two meters of the core sample CM30 (2611 to 2618 m). This implies that the drill core CM31 (2623 to 2625 m) also comes from an olistolith. The rich and diverse ostracod assemblage extracted from the drill core CM31 limestone by Forel and Grădinaru (2020) constrains the Rhaetian age. This is also supported by the brachiopod fauna, which includes *Euxinella anatolica* (Bittner), *Fissirhynchia fissicostata* (Suess), and *Rhaetina pyriformis* (Suess), and is thus similar to that of the allochthonous Rhaetian limestone in the Mountainous Crimea as recorded by Dagens (1974).

METHODS AND MATERIAL

Fifteen thin sections of 28 × 47 mm- size were made from different parts of the drill core CM31 for the investigation of microfacies and foraminifers. Thin sections were photographed under a polarising optical microscope. Descriptions of microfacies follow

classification by Dunham (1962) modified by Embry and Klovan (1971). The proportion of clasts in wackestone was estimated semi-quantitatively using comparison charts by Baccelle and Bosellini (1965). For the quantitative analysis of packstone we used random point-counting of 300 points per image in JMicroVision v1.2.7 (© 2002–2008 Nicolas Roduit).

For the preparation of microfossils, 0.6 kg of limestone was dissolved in buffered 5% acetic acid in the Laboratory of Palaeontology, University of Bucharest. At the Sorbonne University, the rock was also dissolved using hot acetolysis. The latter method proved suitable for the preparation of ostracods, but was too aggressive for foraminifers. Foraminifers, ostracods and conodonts were hand-picked from the residue and preliminarily determined using a stereoscope with under ×5 magnification. Selected foraminifers and conodont specimens were photographed with the JEOL JSM 6490LV Scanning Electron Microscope at the Geological Survey of Slovenia. Ostracods were photographed with the Hitachi TM3000 Scanning Electron Microscope at the Sorbonne University (Paris, France).

RESULTS

Microfacies description

On the microscopic level, the samples show irregular transitions between sparse and dense bioclastic wackestone, packstone, and floatstone. The composition of the bioclasts is basically the same in each of these varieties, but the proportions of the main components differ locally. Sponge spicules and recrystallized mollusc fragments are usually the main bioclasts. Less abundant, but regularly present, are echinoderm ossicles, bryozoans, benthic foraminifers, ostracods and brachiopod shells. The latter are fragmented or complete, and locally even among the most common bioclasts. The matrix is micrite, with up to 10% of silt to sand-sized terrigenous grains (mostly quartz). Irregular dissolution voids are filled with an elongated rim calcite cement and clear blocky calcite. Some varieties of the limestone are described in Table 1. Sparse and dense bioclastic wackestone are shown in Figure 4.

Foraminiferal assemblage

Even though a highly diluted acid was used for the dissolution of limestone matrix, it seems that acetolysis was still too aggressive for non-agglutinated foraminifers, and only agglutinated tests survived the procedure. These are mostly composed of quartz grains. In thin sections also species with porcelaneous and hyaline walls were observed. Their diversity and abundance, however, seem rather low compared to the agglutinates. The exception is *Ophthalmidium*, which is relatively common in thin sections.




Fig. 4 - Microfacies of the Rhaetian limestone olistolith, sample CM31 of borehole 817 LV offshore Romania. a: Sparse bioclastic wackestone. b: Bioclastic packstone. Numbers indicate: ostracods (1), foraminifers (2), brachiopods (3), mollusc fragments (4), echinoderm plates (5), and sponge spicules (6). Arrowheads point to sand grains.

Foraminiferal assemblage, as determined from the residue after cold acetolysis (Figs. 5–6), is dominated by *Verneuilinoides racema* (Trifonova) (40%), Tolypamminidae (18.5%), *Ammobaculites zlambarcensis* Kristan-Tollmann (14%), and *Ammobaculites tzankovi* (Trifonova) (8%). Several other species are present (see Table 2), but represent a smaller part of the assemblage. From thin sections, *Ophthalmidium* cf. *triadicum* (Kristan-Tollmann), *Ophthalmidium* spp., *Trocholina* ex gr. *intermedia/umbo* Frentzen, and “*Involutina turgida* Kristan” (i.e. *Involutina* ex gr. *liassica* (Jones) sensu Blau, 1987) were additionally determined (Fig. 7). Whereas *Ophthalmidium* spp. is represented by many specimens, *Trocholina* and *Involutina* are rare.

Compared to the previous determinations by Gheorghian (in Grădinaru et al 1989), we did not confirm the presence of *Jaculella*, *Gaudryinella kotlen-*

is Trifonova, *Reophax rudis* Kristan-Tollmann (the *Reophax* observed by us has more numerous, more globular, and gradually increasing chambers than *R. rudis*), *Cyclogyra* sp. cf. *pachygyra* (Gümbel) (could be in fact *Ammodiscus* or *Glomospirella*, since *Cyclogyra* has porcelaneous wall), Oberhauserellidae, *Plagiograptus* and *Pseudobolivina*. Unfortunately, Gheorghian did not show any photographs or drawing of her specimens, so we cannot properly compare her results with this study or evaluate her determinations.

Conodont assemblage

The conodont fauna is characterized by the presence of a single species *Norigondolella steinbergensis* (Mosher) in different ontogenetic stages (Fig. 8). The preservation of juvenile conodonts is good, whereas adult specimens and very few ramiform

Microfacies variety	Description
Mudstone	Mudstone contains rare ostracods.
Sponge spicule wackestone	Sponge spicules represent approximately 10% of the area. Most of the rock is composed of slightly silty and fine-sandy micritic matrix.
Sparse sponge spicule-mollusc wackestone	Sponge spicules and recrystallized fragments of molluscs each represent 10% of the area. Subordinate are echinoderm plates, benthic foraminifers, fragments of brachiopods, and ostracods. Micritic matrix is in places silty.
Sparse bioclastic wackestone	Bioclasts barely represent 10% of the area. Mollusc fragments are the most common (5%). Fragments of brachiopods, encrusting bryozoans, foraminifers and echinoderm plates are the other types of bioclasts present. The matrix is in places silty and contains some small peloids.
Dense bioclastic wackestone to packstone	Grains represent 40% of the area: 30% belongs to bioclasts, and 10% to the siliciclastic component. Bioclasts are poorly sorted, ranging in size from 0.08 mm to 2.18 mm (the main size is 0.25 mm). Mollusc fragments account for 16% of the area. Less abundant are echinoderm plates (5%), bryozoans (5%), ostracods (2%), brachiopods (1%), and foraminifers. Sponge spicules and brachiopods are locally more abundant. Sand grains (mostly quartz) represent 10% of the area.
Bioclastic floatstone	Several mm large brachiopod and bivalve shells represent approximately 30% of the area. Shells are bored by endoliths. Additional components are sponge spicules, microgastropods, echinoderm plates, bryozoans, smaller mollusc fragments, and ostracods.

Tab. 1 - Description of the most common microfacies varieties from the Rhaetian olistolith offshore Romania (sample CM31, borehole 817 LV).

Fig. 5 - Foraminifers from the Rhaetian limestone from the 817 LV borehole, sample CM31. a: *Tolypammina?* sp. A. b: *Tolypammina?* sp. B. c: ?*Neotolypammina discoidea* (Trifonova). d: *Neotolypammina* sp. A. (cf. *Tolypammina continuus* Gutschnik). e: *Neotolypammina* sp. B. f: *Ammodiscus* sp. A. g: *Glomospira charoides* (Jones & Parker). h: *Duotaxis* cf. *birmanica* Zaninetti & Brönnimann. i: *Duotaxis* sp. j: “*Tetrataxis*” cf. *inflata* Kristan. k: *Gaudryinopsis triadica* (Kristan-Tollmann). l: *Gaudryinopsis triassica* (Trifonova). m: *Gaudryinopsis kelleri* (Tappan). n–p: *Gaudryinopsis* spp. q: *Reophax* sp. Scale is 400 μm , and for specimens marked with an asterisk 800 μm .



elements are fragmented. The colour of the obtained conodont elements is white, corresponding to the Colour Alteration Index 1 (Epstein et al. 1977).

Ostracod assemblage

The ostracods, described in more detail by Forel and Grădinaru (2020), are relatively well preserved, abundant, and diverse. Seventy-two species were recovered, including seven newly described species and the oldest representative of *Pokornyopsis* Kozur, considered a forerunner of the recent anchialine and submarine cave taxa (Fig. 9) (see Tóth & Cséfan 2018; and discussion in Forel & Grădinaru 2020). The assemblage is characterized by the overwhelming dominance of Bairdiidae and the relative importance of Paracyprididae and Si-

gilliidae. Accessory components are Polycopidae, Cylindroleberididae, Cytherellidae, Pontocyprididae, Thaumathocyprididae, Bythocytheridae, Macrocyprididae and Rectonariidae. For several of the species, juveniles and adults are present, allowing for the characterization of their ontogenetic development (e.g. *Bairdiacypris multidentata* Bolz, *Carinobairdia alpina* Kollmann).

DISCUSSION

Depositional setting

According to the interpretation of the ostracod assemblage, deposition of the investigated sample took place in relatively well-oxygenated




Fig. 6 - Foraminifera from the Rhaetian limestone from the 817 LV borehole, sample CM31. a: *Ammobaculites zlambackensis* Kristan-Tollmann. b: *Ammobaculites tzankovi* (Trifonova). c: *Ammobaculites* sp. (?*A. cf. wallalensis* Crespin). d: *Verneuilinoides racema* (Trifonova). e: Ophthalmitidae. f: *Ammodiscus* sp. B. g: Duostominidae. h: Undetermined species A (?*Endothyra* sp.; low trochospiral, the wall is agglutinated). i: Undetermined species B (low trochospiral with agglutinated wall). j: *Trochammina* sp.; apical view. k: *Trochammina* sp.; umbilical and lateral view, respectively. l: Undetermined species C (the wall is agglutinated). m: ?*Lingulina placklesensis* Kristan-Tollmann. n: *Dentalina* sp. or *Laevidentalina* sp. Scale is 400 μm , and for specimens marked with an asterisk 800 μm .

conditions of an outer shelf environment (Forel & Grădinaru 2020). The composition of sample CM31 stands out in the dominance of heterozoan components. Heterotrophic-dominated carbonates are largely considered characteristic of temperate to cool-water areas lacking significant terrigenous sediment input (Schlager 2003). The heterotrophic-dominated associations, however, may also result from increased nutrient levels, high water energy, oscillating oceanographic conditions, type of substrate, and other environmental factors that suppress the growth of auto- and mixotrophic organisms (Hallock 2001; Westphal et al. 2010; Michel et al. 2011). According to Stampfli and Kozur (2006), the Triassic rocks of the Dobrogea deposited at around 35° northern latitude in the early Norian, and at almost 50° northern latitude in the latest Rhaetian. The relatively high-latitude (out-of-tropical belt) position,

and not some other factors, probably explains the heterozoan character of the investigated limestone. The idea of relatively cooler water conditions is also supported by the presence of facies-controlled conodont *Norigondolella steinbergensis* (Mosher) (Kozur & Mock 1991; Krystyn et al. 2007a, 2007b; Muttoni et al. 2010; Trotter et al. 2015; Rigo et al. 2018).

Peculiarities of the fossil assemblage

Foraminiferal assemblages from heterozoan carbonate factories are poorly known compared to those from the tropical and subtropical carbonate factories of the Neotethys and Panthalassa Oceans. The described assemblage thus adds an important dimension to our knowledge of assemblages from such settings, which we do not yet fully understand. The general composition of the assemblage from sample CM31 is very similar to some of the assem-




Fig. 7 - Foraminifers from the Rhaetian limestone from the 817 LV borehole, sample CM31. Specimens determined in thin sections. a: *Ophthalmidium* cf. *triadicum* (Kristan-Tollmann). b: “*Involutina turgida* Kristan” (i.e. *Involutina* ex gr. *liassica* (Jones) sensu Blau, 1987), subaxial section. c: Tolypamminidae. d–e: *Ophthalmidium* sp. f: *Trocholina* ex gr. *intermedia/umbo* Frenzen.

blages previously reported from the region, even though they are reportedly not of the same age (Table 2). We attribute this to the fact that the majority of the species from the assemblage have long stratigraphic ranges, except for “*Involutina turgida* Kristan”. The similarity with the assemblages mentioned below thus lies in some feature of the palaeoenvironment and does not imply the same age.

Marly limestone of the Telița Formation from North Dobrogea is dated by Grădinaru (1984) as Rhaetian in age by the occurrence of the bivalve *Otapiria marshalli alpina* Zapfe. The foraminiferal assemblage includes “*Vidalina*” sp., *Ophthalmidium fusiforme* (Trifonova), *Ophthalmidium* sp., and frequently Tolypamminidae (Mirăuță et al. 1993). Unfortunately, no detailed description of the microfacies was provided by Mirăuță et al. (1993), but the Telița Formation probably deposited in deeper water (Grădinaru, pers. data).

The upper Carnian – lower Norian Hallstatt-type limestones from the Cilic Valley and the Muchea Verde Hill in the North Dobrogea contain ostracods, echinoderms, brachiopods, bivalves, ammonites, “calcspheres” (radiolarians or recrystallized pellets), and foraminifers. Agglutinated taxa and lagenids predominate. The former comprise genera *Hyperammina*, *Ammodiscus*, *Glomospira*, *Glo-*

mospirella, *Tolypammina* (incl. *Neotolypammina*), *Haplophragmoides*, *Gaudryina* (i.e., *Gaudryinopsis*) *Gaudryinella*, *Ammobaculites*, and *Verneuilinoides* (Mirăuță & Gheorghian 1975). A similar assemblage has also been reported by Trifonova (1962, 1967) from the lower Carnian limestone from the surroundings of Kotel in Bulgaria (see also Trifonova 1984). The Norian beds bearing the bivalve *Monotis salinaria* Bronn additionally contain “*Involutina*” *rara* Trifonova [the generic attribution is herein considered to be wrong; it is probably a tolypamminid], “*Trochammina*” *helicta* Tappan, “*T.*” *angulata* Trifonova, *Spirophthalmidium lucidum* Trifonova, *S. fusiformis* Trifonova, and *Discorbis?* *pristina* Tappan (Trifonova 1962). However, we do not have information on the microfacies composition of these limestones.

The similarity of the assemblages does not extend to assemblages documented from the Crimea and the Pre-Caucasus, even though heterotrophic carbonates were documented also from these localities. Norian – Rhaetian limestone olistoliths from the Crimea, embedded within Jurassic clastic Eskiorda (also Eskiordin) Formation were described as wackestones and packstones with echinoderms, brachiopods, foraminifers and bryozoans, and intraclastic-bioclastic grainstones with sponges and gastropods in addition to the previously mentioned




Fig. 8 - *Norigondolella steinbergensis* (Mosher). Sample CM31. 1: juvenile; 2: intermediate; 3–5: adult; a: upper view, b: lower view, c: lateral view.




Fig. 9 - Ostracods from the Rhaetian limestone from the 817 LV borehole, sample CM31. a: *Bairdiocypris multidentata* Bolz, right lateral view of a carapace. b: *Bairdiocypris argonautaii* Forel in Forel & Grädinaru 2020, right lateral view of a carapace. c: *Carinobairdia triassica triassica* Kollmann, external view of a right valve. d: *Carinobairdia alpina* Kollmann, emend. Kristan-Tollmann, external view of a right valve. e: *Fabaliocypris* cf. *triassica* Bolz, right lateral view of a carapace. f: *Isobrythocypris atalantella* Forel in Forel & Grädinaru 2020, right lateral view of a carapace. g: *Lobobairdia salinara* Kollmann, external view of a left valve. h: *Paracypris ovidi* Forel in Forel & Grädinaru 2020, right lateral view of a carapace. i: *Judabella andrusovi* Kozur & Bolz in Bunza & Kozur, right lateral view of a carapace. j: *Cardobairdia* sp. 1, right lateral view of a carapace. k: *Hungarella koessenensis* (Mette & Mohtat-Aghai), right lateral view of a carapace. l: *Pokornyopsis* sp. 1, left lateral view of a carapace. All scale bars are 100 µm.

Locality	817 Lebăda Vest borehole (this work); Rhaetian	Telița Formation, North Dobrogea (Mirăuță et al. 1993); Rhaetian	Cilie Valley & Muchea Verde Hill, North Dobrogea (Mirăuță & Gheorghian 1975); upper Carnian – lower Norian	Kotel, Bulgaria Trifonova (1962, 1967); lower Carnian	
Agglutinated	<i>Neotolypammina discoidea</i> (Trifonova)	Tolypamminidae	<i>Hyperammina</i> spp.	<i>Ammobaculites tzankovi</i> Trifonova	
	<i>Tolypammina</i> and/or <i>Neotolypammina</i> spp.	“ <i>Vidalina</i> ” sp.	<i>Ammodiscus</i> sp. cf. <i>tenuissimus</i> (Gümbel)	<i>Glomospira articulosa</i> Plummer	
	<i>Ammodiscus</i> sp. A	<i>Ophthalmidium fusiforme</i> (Trifonova)	<i>Ammodiscus</i> sp. cf. <i>planus</i> (Moeller)	<i>Glomospira gordialis</i> Jones & Parker	
	<i>Ammodiscus</i> sp. B				
	<i>Glomospira charoides</i> (Jones & Parker)	<i>Ophthalmidium</i> sp.	<i>Glomospira gordialis</i> (Jones & Parker)	<i>Glomospirella</i> sp.	
	<i>Duotaxis</i> cf. <i>birmanica</i> Zaninetti & Brönnimann		<i>Glomospirella</i> cf. <i>spirillinoides</i> (Grozdilova & Glebovskaia)	<i>Neotolypammina</i> and/or <i>Tolypammina</i> spp.	
	<i>Duotaxis</i> sp.		<i>Neotolypammina discoidea</i> (Trifonova)	<i>Ammoveitella bulbosa</i> Gutschick & Treckman	
	“ <i>Tetrataxis</i> ” cf. <i>inflata</i> Kristan		<i>Tolypammina indistincta</i> Trifonova	<i>Ammobaculites</i> cf. <i>inconspicua</i> Cushman & Waters	
	<i>Gaudryinopsis triadica</i> (Kristan-Tollmann)		<i>Tolypammina</i> aff. <i>labyrinthica</i> Trifonova	<i>Ammobaculites delicatus</i> Trifonova	
	<i>Gaudryinopsis triassica</i> (Trifonova)		<i>Ammosphaeroidina</i> sp.	<i>Verneulinoides mauritii</i> (Terquem) (i.e., <i>V. triserialis</i> Ziegler; see Trifonova 1992)	
	<i>Gaudryinopsis kelleri</i> (Tappan)		<i>Haplophragmoides</i> spp.	<i>Gaudryinopsis racema</i> (Trifonova)	
	<i>Gaudryinopsis</i> sp.		<i>Gaudryinella kotlaensis</i> Trifonova	<i>Gaudryinopsis adoxa</i> (Tappan)	
	<i>Reophax</i> sp.		<i>Gaudryinopsis adoxa</i> (Tappan)	<i>Gaudryinopsis triassica</i> (Trifonova)	
	<i>Ammobaculites zlabachensis</i> Kristan-Tollmann		<i>Gaudryinopsis triassica</i> (Trifonova)	<i>Gaudryinella clavuliniformis</i> Trifonova	
	<i>Ammobaculites tzankovi</i> (Trifonova)		<i>Ammobaculites</i> cf. <i>radstadtensis</i> Kristan-Tollmann	<i>Gaudryinella kotlensis</i> Trifonova	
	<i>Ammobaculites</i> sp.		<i>Verneulinoides mauritii</i> (Terquem)	“ <i>Trochammina</i> ” <i>balcanica</i> Trifonova	
	<i>Verneulinoides racema</i> (Trifonova)		? <i>Endotriadella</i> sp.	“ <i>Trochammina</i> ” aff. <i>contornata</i> Tappan	
	<i>Trochammina</i> spp.		<i>Endothyranella</i> sp.	<i>Cornuspira liasina</i> Terquem	
	Undetermined species A (Fig. 6h)		<i>Vinelloidea</i> cf. <i>parasitica</i> (Dain)	nodosariid lagenids	
	Undetermined species B (Fig. 6i)		<i>Variostoma</i> cf. <i>spinosa</i> Kristan	<i>Placopsilina floriae</i> Trifonova	
	Undetermined species C (Fig. 6l)		? <i>Geinitzina</i> sp.	<i>P. lacera</i> Trifonova	
	porcela neous	<i>Ophthalmidium</i> cf. <i>triadicum</i> (Kristan-Tollmann)		? <i>Nodosinella libera</i> Trifonova	<i>Spirillina gurgitata</i> Tappan
		<i>Ophthalmidium</i> spp.		<i>Pseudonodosaria obconica</i> (Reuss)	<i>Astacolus</i> cf. <i>connudatus</i> Tappan
	Hyaline aragonitic	?Duostominidae		<i>Pseudonodosaria</i> sp.	
		<i>Trocholina</i> ex gr. <i>intermedialumbo</i> Frenzen		<i>Dentalina</i> sp.	
		“ <i>Involutina turgida</i> Kristan” (i.e. <i>Involutina</i> ex gr. <i>liassica</i> (Jones) sensu Blau, 1987)		<i>Fronicularia</i> sp.	
Hyaline calcitic	? <i>Lingulina placklesensis</i> Kristan-Tollmann		<i>Lenticulina</i> sp.		
	<i>Dentalina</i> sp.				
	<i>Lenticulina</i> sp.				

Tab. 2 - A complete list of foraminifers determined in sample CM31 and similar assemblages from the region. The wall composition is indicated by different shading. Note that the wall composition of *Endotriadella* and *Endothyranella* is not indicated. Both genera are placed into Fusulinina, but the microstructure of these Triassic genera has not yet been investigated.

bioclasts (Kotlyar et al. 1999). Their foraminiferal assemblages include some of the same genera as the assemblage from CM31 (i.e. *Tolypammmina*, *Gaudryinopsis* and *Ophthalmidium* in most samples), as well as a great variety of non-agglutinates, including *Decapoolina*, *Galeanella* and *Miliolipora* (Pronina & Vuks 1996; Kotlyar et al. 1999; Vuks 2000; Korchagin et al. 2003). Bioclastic limestone of the Norian and Rhaetian Khodz Group in the Caucasian Fore Range is wackestone and packstone, locally floatstone to rudstone with variable amounts of sponges, selenoporacean algae, bryozoans, brachiopods, echinoderms, bivalves, small benthic foraminifers, juvenile ammonoids, and less abundant agglutinated worm tubes, gastropods, ostracods, and corals (Gale et al. 2020). Sedimentation was interpreted to take place in relatively shallow water. This facies passes laterally and vertically into deeper marine *Monotis*-rich limestone (Gaetani et al. 2005). Foraminiferal assemblages from the Khodz Group were described by Efimova (1975, 1991), Vuks (1996, 2000, 2004), Gaetani et al. (2005), and Gale et al. (2020). The most intriguing feature of the bioclastic limestone is the large proportion and diversity of trocholinids (Gale et al. 2020). Compared to the sample CM31, the proportion of agglutinates is low.

The differences between the assemblages recorded from the Crimea and the Pre-Caucasus on one side, and the assemblages described from the NDO (and its offshore continuation) and the Kotel area on the other, can probably not be attributed to the palaeolatitude. The Upper Triassic rock from the first two localities were palaeogeographically even further north than deposits from the NDO and Kotel, yet nevertheless contain *Galeanella*, *Miliolipora*, and *Decapoolina*, which are common elements of the equatorial carbonates (e.g. Schäfer and Senowbari-Daryan 1978; Schäfer 1979; Senowbari-Daryan 1980; Martini et al. 2007, 2009; Chablais et al. 2011; Gale 2012). Perhaps the main difference is in the depositional depth, since the deposition of the investigated sample, as well as of the other formations with similar assemblages, was in a somewhat deeper environment, and the Khodz Group has at least been interpreted as a shallow marine unit. However, other, completely local sets of characters could direct the specific composition of faunas. More attention should be given to assemblages from heterozoan carbonate systems to further elaborate on these hypotheses.

CONCLUSIONS

Rhaetian limestone, reached in the drill hole 817 LV of the Lebăda Vest oilfield, offshore Romania, is dominated by sponge spicules and mollusc fragments, with smaller amounts of echinoderm ossicles, bryozoans, benthic foraminifers, ostracods and brachiopod shells. The relative abundance of ostracods belonging to Bairdiidae, Paracyprididae, and Sigilliidae points to a well oxygenated environment of the outer shelf. The foraminiferal assemblage from the residue is dominated by agglutinated species and ophthalmidiids. Similar assemblages have been reported from the Rhaetian Telița Formation (Mirăuță et al. 1993), and from the upper Carnian – lower Norian Hallstatt-type limestones from the Cilic Valley and the Muchea Verde Hill in the North Dobrogea (Mirăuță & Gheorghian 1975), and from the lower Carnian limestone from the surroundings of Kotel in Bulgaria (Trifonova 1962, 1967). In contrast, heterozoan-dominated limestone from the Norian-Rhaetian of Crimea and the Pre-Caucasus contains a large number and variety of non-agglutinated foraminifers, such as Trocholinidae, *Galeanella*, *Miliolipora*, and *Decapoolina* (Gale et al. 2020 and references therein). The differences among assemblages could be due to their different depositional depths. Agglutinated foraminifers might represent the bulk of the species diversity and numbers in the more distal parts of the heterozoan-type carbonate platforms, while the miliolids and the trocholinid involutinids may characterise the proximal parts of such systems.

Acknowledgements: Acknowledgements are given to the Slovenian Research Agency, which supports the research done by the authors L.G., T.K.-J. (Programme No. P1-0011), and L.K. (Programme No. P2-0273). We would also like to give credit to the late Dr. Doru Luțac, the former Director of PETROMAR-Constanța, for allowing us free access to the documentation of boreholes and core materials. We would like to thank Ioan Bucur (Babeș-Bolyai University, Cluj-Napoca) and Iuliana Lazăr (University of Bucharest) for photographing the thin sections and for our discussions about microfacies. We thank Marie-Emilie Clemence (University of Innsbruck) for her helpful discussion about some foraminifers. Lastly, we gratefully acknowledge the constructive remarks from the reviewers Ozsvárt Péter and Daria Ivanova for helping improving this manuscript.

REFERENCES

Arthaber G. von. (1906) - Die alpine Trias des Mediterran-Gebietes. In *Lethaea geognostica* II. Teil, Mesozoicum,

- Band I: 223-475. Verlag der E. Schweizerbart'schen Verlagshandlung (E. Nägele), Stuttgart.
- Baccelle L. & Bosellini A. (1965) - Diagrammi per la stima visiva della composizione percentuale nelle rocce sedimentarie. *Annali dell'Università di Ferrara, Sezione IX, Scienze Geologiche e Paleontologiche*, 1: 59-62.
- Balintoni I. & Balica C. (2016) - Peri-Amazonian provenance of the Euxinic Craton components in Dobrogea and of the North Dobrogean Orogen components (Romania): A detrital zircon study. *Precambrian Research*, 278: 34-51.
- Balintoni I., Balica C., Seghedi A. & Ducea M.N. (2010) - Avalonian and Cadomian terranes in North Dobrogea, Romania. *Precambrian Research*, 182: 217-229.
- Blau J. (1987) - Neue Foraminiferen aus dem Lias der Lienzer Dolomiten Teil II (Schluss): Foraminiferen (Involutinida, Spirillinina) aus der Lavanter Breccie (Lienzer Dolomiten) und den Nördlichen Kalkalpen. *Jahrbuch der Geologischen Bundesanstalt*, 130: 5-23.
- Cătuneanu O. & Maftai A. (1994) - The Romanian Shelf of the Black Sea. In Ionesi L. (Ed.) - The geology of the Platform Units and the North-Dobrogean Orogen: 227-260. Editura Tehnică, București. [in Romanian]
- Chablais J., Martini R., Kobayashi F., Stampfli G.M. & Onoue T. (2011) - Upper Triassic foraminifers from Panthalassan carbonate buildups of southwestern Japan and their paleobiogeographic implications. *Micropaleontology*, 57: 93-124.
- Dagys A.S. (1974) - Triassic brachiopods. *Trudy Instituta Geologii i Geofiziki Novosibirsk*, 214: 1-323.
- Dinu C., Orban T. & Grădinaru E. (1989) - Tectonics of the Dobrogean Continental Shelf of the Black Sea. In Almășan B. (Ed.) - Condition for the Generation, Migration and Accumulation of Hydrocarbons on the Romanian Continental Shelf of the Black Sea. Internal Report, vol. 1: III.86-98. Faculty of Geology and Geophysics, Bucharest University, Bucharest. [in Romanian]
- Dinu C., Wong H.C., Țambrea D. & Mațenco L. (2005) - Stratigraphic and structural characteristics of the Romanian Black Sea shelf. *Tectonophysics*, 410: 417-435.
- Dunham R.J. (1962) - Classification of carbonate rocks according to depositional texture. In Han W.E. (Ed.) - Classification of carbonate rocks, A symposium: 108-121. American Association of Petroleum Geologists, Tulsa.
- Efimova N.A. (1975) - Foraminifera from deposits of the Khodz Group of the North-West Caucasus (Tkhach River). *Trudy VNIGNI*, 171: 47-61. [in Russian]
- Efimova N.A. (1991) - Triassic system. In Azbel A.Y. & Grigelis A.A. (Eds.) - Practical manual on microfauna of the USSR. Mesozoic foraminifers, vol. 5: 16-25. Nedra, Leningrad.
- Embry A.F. & Klovan J.E. (1971) - A late Devonian reef tract on northeastern Banks Island, NWT. *Bulletin of Canadian Petroleum Geology*, 19: 730-781.
- Epstein A.G., Epstein J.B. & Harris L.D. (1977) - Conodont Color Alteration - an Index to Organic Metamorphism. *U. S. Geological Survey Professional Paper*, 995: 1-27.
- Forel M.-B. & Grădinaru E. (2020) - Rhaetian (Late Triassic) ostracods (Crustacea, Ostracoda) from the offshore prolongation of North Dobrogean Orogen into the Romanian Black Sea shelf. *European Journal of Taxonomy*, 727: 1-83.
- Fuchs W. (1968) - Über Ursprung und Phylogenie der 'Trias-Globigerinen' und die Bedeutung dieses Formenkreises für das echte Plankton. *Verhandlungen der Geologischen Bundesanstalt*, 1967: 135-176.
- Gaetani M., Garzanti E., Polino R., Kiricko Y., Korsakhov S., Cirilli S., Nicora A., Rettori R. & Larghi C. (2005) - Stratigraphic evidence for Cimmerian events in NW Caucasus (Russia). *Bulletin de la Société Géologique de France*, 176: 283-299.
- Gale L. (2012) - Rhaetian foraminiferal assemblage from the Dachstein Limestone of Mt. Begunjšica (Košuta Unit, eastern Southern Alps). *Geologija*, 55: 17-44.
- Gale L., Rigaud S., Gennari V., Blau J., Rettori R., Martini R. & Gaetani M. (2020) - Recognition of upper Triassic temperate foraminiferal assemblages: Insights from the Khodz Group (NW Caucasus, Russia). *Global and Planetary Change*, 188: 103152.
- Grădinaru E. (1984) - Jurassic rocks of North Dobrogea: a depositional-tectonic approach. *Revue Roumaine de Géologie*, 28: 61-72.
- Grădinaru E. (1988) - Jurassic sedimentary rocks and bimodal volcanics of the Cârjelari-Camena Outcrop Belt: evidence for a transtensive regime of the Peceneaga-Camena Fault. *Studii și Cercetări de Geologie, Geofizică, Geografie, Seria Geologie*, 33: 97-121.
- Grădinaru E. (1995) - Mesozoic rocks in North Dobrogea: an overview. In Săndulescu M. & Grădinaru E. (Eds), Field Guidebook, Central and North Dobrogea, Romania, October 1-4, 1995. IGCP Project No. 369, Comparative Evolution of Peri-Tethyan Rift Basins: 17-26. Geological Institute of Romania, Bucharest.
- Grădinaru E. (2000) - Introduction to the Triassic Geology of North Dobrogea Orogen - an overview of the Triassic System in the Tulcea Unit and the ammonoid biostratigraphy. In Grădinaru E. (Ed.) - Workshop on the Lower-Middle Triassic (Olenekian-Anisian) boundary, 7-10 June 2000, Tulcea, Romania, Conference and Field Trip. Field Trip Guide: 5-37. Romanian Academy & University of Bucharest, Faculty of Geology and Geophysics, Bucharest.
- Grădinaru E. (2006) - Geology of the Triassic and Jurassic terrains in the Peceneaga-Camena Zone. *Ars Docendi*, București, 212 pp. [in Romanian]
- Grădinaru E., Dinu C. & Dragastan O. (1989) - Stratigraphy of the Dobrogean Continental Shelf of the Black Sea. In Almășan B. (Ed.) - Conditions for the Generation, Migration and Accumulation of Hydrocarbons on the Romanian Continental Shelf of the Black Sea. Internal Report, vol. 1: III.39-85. Faculty of Geology and Geophysics, Bucharest University, Bucharest. [in Romanian]
- Groves J.R. & Altiner D. (2005) - Survival and recovery of calcareous foraminifera pursuant to the end-Permian mass extinction. *Comptes Rendus Palevol*, 4: 419-432.
- Groves J.R., Rettori R., Payne J.L., Boyce M.D. & Altiner D.

- (2007) - End-Permian mass extinction of lagenide foraminifers in the Southern Alps (Northern Italy). *Journal of Paleontology*, 81: 415-434.
- Hallock P. (2001) - Chapter 11. Coral reefs, carbonate sediments, nutrients, and global change. In Stanley G.D.Jr. (Ed.) - The history and sedimentology of ancient reef systems: 387-427. Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers, New York.
- Hohenegger J. & Lobitzer H. (1971) - Die Foraminiferen-Verteilung in einem obertriadischen Karbonatplattform-Becken-Komplex der östlichen Nördlichen Kalkalpen. *Verhandlungen der Geologischen Bundesanstalt*, 3: 458-485.
- Hohenegger J. & Piller W. (1975) - Ökologie und systematische Stellung der Foraminiferen im gebankten Dachsteinkalk (Obertrias) des Nördlichen Toten Gebirges (Oberösterreich). *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, 18: 241-276.
- Kittl E. (1908) - Beiträge zur Kenntnis der Triasbildungen der nordöstlichen Dobrudscha. *Denkschriften der Kaiserlichen Akademie der Wissenschaften, Mathematisch-Naturwissenschaftliche Klasse*, 81: 447-532.
- Korchagin O.A., Kuznetsova K.I. & Bragin N.Yu. (2003) - Find of early planktonic foraminifers in the Triassic of the Crimea. *Doklady Earth Science*, 390: 482-486.
- Kotlyar G.V., Baud A., Pronina G.P., Zakharov Y.D., Vuks V.Ja., Nestell M.K., Belyaeva G.V. & Marcoux J. (1999) - Permian and Triassic exotic limestone blocks of the Crimea. In: Crasquin-Soleau S., De Wever P. (Eds.) - Peri-Tethys: stratigraphic correlations 3. *Geodiversitas*, 21: 299-323.
- Kovács S., Sudar M., Grădinaru E., Gawlick H.-J., Karamata S., Haas J., Péro Cs., Gaetani M., Mello J., Polák M., Aljinovic D., Ogorelec B., Kolar-Jurkovšek T., Jurkovšek B. & Buser S. (2011) - Triassic evolution of the tectonostratigraphic units of the Circum-Pannonian Region. *Jahrbuch der Geologischen Bundesanstalt*, 151: 199-280.
- Kozur H. & Mock R. (1991) - New Middle Carnian and Rhaetian conodonts from Hungary and the Alps, stratigraphic importance and tectonic implications for the Buda Mountains and adjacent areas. *Jahrbuch der Geologischen Bundesanstalt*, 134: 271-297.
- Krystyn L., Bouquerel H., Kuerschner W., Richoz S. & Gallet Y. (2007a) - Proposal for a candidate GSSP for the base of the Rhaetian stage. In: Lucas S.G. & Spielman J.A. (Eds.) - The Global Triassic. *New Mexico Museum of Natural History Science Bulletin*, 41: 189-199.
- Krystyn L., Richoz S., Gallet Y., Bouquerel H., Kürschner W.M. & Spötl C. (2007b) - Updated bio- and magnetostratigraphy from Steinbergkogel (Austria), candidate GSSP for the base of the Rhaetian stage. *Albertiana*, 36: 164-173.
- Martini R., Cirilli S., Saurer C., Abate B., Ferruzza G. & Lo Cicero G. (2007) - Depositional environment and biofacies characterisation of the Triassic (Carnian to Rhaetian) carbonate succession of Punta Bassano (Marettimo Island, Sicily). *Facies*, 53: 389-400.
- Martini R., Peybernes B. & Moix P. (2009) - Late Triassic foraminifera in reefal limestones of SW Cyprus. *Journal of Foraminiferal Research*, 39: 218-230.
- Michel J., Vicens G.M. & Westphal H. (2011) - Modern heterozoan carbonates from a eutrophic tropical shelf (Mauritania). *Journal of Sedimentary Research*, 81: 641-655.
- Mirăuță E. & Gheorghian D. (1975) - Norian conodonts and foraminifers from North Dobrogea. *Dări de Seamă ale Ședințelor*, 61: 47-76.
- Mirăuță E., Gheorghian D. & Bădiceanu M. (1993) - Données biostratigraphiques sur la Formation de Cataloi (Dobrogea de Nord, Roumanie). *Romanian Journal of Stratigraphy*, 75: 21-27.
- Muttoni G., Kent D.V., Jadoul F., Olsen P.E., Rigo M., Galli M.T. & Nicora A. (2010) - Rhaetian magneto-biostratigraphy from the Southern Alps (Italy): Constraints on Triassic chronology. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, 285: 1-16.
- Oberhauser R. (1960) - Foraminiferen und Mikrofossilien "incertae sedis" der ladinischen und karnischen Stufe der Trias aus den Ostalpen und aus Pesien. *Jahrbuch der Geologischen Bundesanstalt*, 5: 5-46.
- Paraschiv D. (1979) - Platforma Moesiacă și zăcămintele ei de hidrocarburi. Editura Academiei Republicii Socialiste România, București, 195 pp. [in Romanian].
- Pătruț I., Paraschiv C., Dăneț T., Balteș N., Dăneț N. & Motaș L. (1983) - The geological constitution of the Danube Delta. *Anuarul Institutului de Geologie și Geofizică*, 59: 55-61.
- Payne J.L. & Clapham M.E. (2012) - End-Permian mass extinction in the oceans: an ancient analog for the twenty-first century?. *Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences*, 40: 89-111.
- Payne J.L., Summers M., Rego B.L., Altiner D., Wei J. & Lehrmann D.J. (2011) - Early and Middle Triassic trends in diversity, evenness, and size of foraminifers on a carbonate platform in south China: implications for tempo and mode of biotic recovery from the end-Permian mass extinction. *Paleobiology*, 37: 409-425.
- Peters K.F. (1867) - Grundlinien zur Geographie und Geologie der Dobrudscha. *Denkschriften der Kaiserlichen Akademie der Wissenschaften*, 27: 83-207.
- Pronina G.P. & Vuks V.Ja. (1996) - New data on the Triassic foraminifers of Crimea. *Annuario del Museo Civico di Rovereto*, 11: 215-228.
- Rego B.L., Wang S.C., Altiner D. & Payne J.L. (2012) - Within and among-genus components of size evolution during mass extinction, recovery, and background intervals: a case study of Late Permian through Late Triassic foraminifera. *Paleobiology*, 38: 627-643.
- Rigo M., Mazza M., Karádi V. & Nicora A. (2018) - New Upper Triassic Conodont Biozonation of the Tethyan Realm. Chapter 6. In: Tanner, L.H. (Ed.), The Late Triassic World. *Topics in Geobiology*, 46: 189-235.
- Saccani E., Seghedi A. & Nicolae I. (2004) - Evidence of rift magmatism from preliminary petrological data on Early-Middle Triassic mafic rocks from the North Dobrogea Orogen (Romania). *Ofioliti*, 29: 227-237.
- Salaj J., Trifonova E., Gheorghian D. & Coroneou V. (1988) - The Triassic foraminifera microbiostratigraphy of the

- Carpathian - Balkan and Hellenic realm. *Mineralia Slovaca*, 20(5): 387-415.
- Săndulescu M. (1984) - Geotectonics of Romania. Editura Tehnică, Bucharest, 336 pp. [in Romanian]
- Săndulescu M. (1994) - Overview on Romanian Geology. In: "ALCAPA II". *Romanian Journal of Tectonics and Regional Geology*, 75 (suppl. 2): 3-15.
- Săndulescu M. (1995) - Dobrogea within the Carpathian Foreland. In: Săndulescu M. & Grădinaru E. (Eds.) - IGCP Project No. 369, Comparative Evolution of Peri-Tethyan Rift Basins. Central and North Dobrogea, Romania, October 1-4, 1995. Field Guidebook: 1-4. Geological Institute of Romania & University of Bucharest, Faculty of Geology and Geophysics, Bucharest.
- Savu H. (1986) - Triassic, continental intra-plate volcanism in North Dobrogea. *Revue Roumaine de Géologie, Géophysique et Géographie, Série Géologie*, 30: 21-29.
- Schäfer P. (1979) - Fazielle Entwicklung und palökologische Zonierung zweier obertriadischer Riffstrukturen in den Nördlichen Kalkalpen ("Oberhät"-riff-kalke, Salzburg). *Facies*, 1: 3-245.
- Schäfer P. & Senowbari-Daryan B. (1978) - Die Häufigkeitsverteilung der Foraminiferen in drei oberhätischen Riff-Komplexen der Nördlichen Kalkalpen (Salzburg, Österreich). *Verhandlung der Geologische Bundesanstalt*, 2: 73-96.
- Schlager W. (2003) - Benthic carbonate factories of the Phanerozoic. *International Journal of Earth Sciences (Geologische Rundschau)*, 92: 445-464.
- Seghedi A. (2001) - The North Dobrogea orogenic belt (Romania): a review. In: Ziegler P.A., Cavazza W., Robertson A.F.H. & Crasquin-Soleau S. (Eds.) - Peri-Tethys Memoir 6: Peri-Tethyan Rift/Wrench Basins and Passive Margins. *Mémoires du Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle*, 186: 237-257.
- Seghedi A. (2012) - Palaeozoic Formations from Dobrogea and Pre-Dobrogea - An Overview. *Turkish Journal of Earth Sciences*, 21: 669-721.
- Seghedi A. & Oaie Gh. (1995) - Paleozoic evolution of North Dobrogea. In Săndulescu M. & Grădinaru E. (Eds.) - IGCP Project No. 369, Comparative Evolution of Peri-Tethyan Rift Basins. Central and North Dobrogea, Romania, October 1-4, 1995. Field Guidebook: 5-15. Geological Institute of Romania & University of Bucharest, Faculty of Geology and Geophysics, Bucharest.
- Şengör A.M.C. (1984) - The Cimmeride orogenic system and the tectonics of Eurasia. *Geological Society of America Special Paper*, 195: 1-82.
- Şengör A.M.C. (1986) - Die Alpiden und die Kimmeriden: die verdoppelte Geschichte der Tethys. *Geologische Rundschau*, 75: 501-510.
- Senowbari-Daryan B. (1980) - Fazielle und paläontologische Untersuchungen in oberhätischen Riffen (Feichtenstein- und Gruberriff bei Hintersee, Salzburg, Nördliche Kalkalpen). *Facies*, 3: 1-237.
- Simionescu I. (1925) - Păturile cu Daonella în Dobrogea (Les couches à Daonella de Dobrogea). *Academia Română, Publicațiunile Fondului Vasile Adamachi*, 43: 1-10. [in Romanian, French summary]
- Simionescu I. (1927) - Aperçu géologique sur la Dobrogea. In Guide des excursions. Association pour l'avancement de la géologie des Carpates. Deuxième réunion en Roumanie: 353-378. Cultura Națională, Bucurest.
- Stampfli G.M. & Kozur H.W. (2006) - Europe from the Variscan to Alpine cycles. In Gee D.G. & Stephenson R.A. (Eds.) - European Lithosphere Dynamics. Memoir 32: 57-82. Geological Society of London, London.
- Țambrea D., Dinu C. & Sămpetrea E. (2002) - Characteristics of the tectonics and lithostratigraphy and the Black Sea Shelf, offshore Romania. In Dinu C. & Mocanu V. (Eds.) - Geology and Tectonics of the Romanian Black Sea Shelf and its Hydrocarbon Potential. Bucharest Geoscience Forum, Special Volume, 2: 29-42. Ed. Vergiliu, Bucharest.
- Tóth E. & Cséfan T. (2018) - Rare myodocopid ostracods from Mesozoic sections of Hungary: summary, revision and description of new taxa. *Zootaxa*, 4374: 350. doi:10.11646/zootaxa.4374.3.2
- Trifonova E. (1962) - Upper Triassic foraminifera from the surroundings of Kotel - the Eastern Balkan. *Annuaire de la Direction pour les recherches géologiques et minières en Bulgarie*, 12: 141-170.
- Trifonova E. (1967) - Some new Triassic foraminifera in Bulgaria. *Annuaire de l'Université de Sofia*, 60 (1965/1966): 1-13.
- Trifonova E. (1984) - Correlation of Triassic foraminifers from Bulgaria and some localities in Europe, Caucasus and Turkey. *Geologica Balcanica*, 13: 3-24.
- Trotter J.A., Williams I.S., Nicora A., Mazza M. & Rigo M. (2015) - Long-term cycles of Triassic climate change: a new $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ record from conodont apatite. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 415: 165-174.
- Visarion M., Săndulescu M., Roșca V., Stănică D. & Atanasiu L. (1990) - La Dobrogea dans le cadre de l'avant pays carpatique. *Revue Roumaine de Géophysique*, 34: 55-65.
- Vuks V.Ja. (1996) - Late Triassic foraminifers of Caucasus and Pamirs. *Annali Museo Civico di Rovereto*, 11: 199-206.
- Vuks V.Ja. (2000) - Triassic foraminifers of the Crimea, Caucasus, Mangyshlak and Pamirs (biostratigraphy and correlation). *Zentralblatt für Geologie und Paläontologie, Teil I* 11-12: 1353-1365.
- Vuks V.J. (2004) - Biodiversity of microorganisms (Foraminifers) as environmental indicators on the boundary of Triassic and Jurassic in Caucasus. *Environmental Micropaleontology, Microbiology and Meiobenthology*, 1: 40-47.
- Westphal H., Halfar J. & Freiwald A. (2010) - Heterozoan carbonates in subtropical to tropical settings in the present and in the past. *International Journal of Earth Sciences*, 99: 153-159.

