

Journal Homepage: https://riviste.unimi.it/index.php/dissertationnursing



Validation Study

The Italian version of the CAre REcipient Behavior Assessment scale (Careba): validation in Italian on patients with dementia

Giada Cocciolo¹

¹ Lmu Klinikum Grosshadren, Munich

Findings:

In this study, a scale (CAREBA) designed to assess the aggressiveness of the person with dementia is translated and validated.

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND:

The international literature shows that 20-40% of patients with cognitive impairment, hospitalized in long-term facilities, may react during nursing care with aggressive behaviors such as screaming, threats, kicks, punches and scratches.

AIM:

The objective of this study is to validate in Italian the "Care Recipient Behavior Assessment Scale" (CAREBA), an assessment tool designed to measure physical and verbal aggression of the person with dementia.

METHODS:

The CAREBA was back-translated through an observational study. It was administered to a sample of dementia patients with physical and/or verbal aggressive behaviors, conducted in a hospital in Northern Italy. Reliability and validity were assessed.

RESULTS:

The scale shows satisfactory internal consistency (Cronbach's Alpha = 0.79) and content validity (S-CVI= 90%).

CONCLUSIONS:

The CAREBA scale is a valid and reliable tool to detect aggression in patients with dementia.

KEYWORDS: Dementia, Nurse, Assessment, Aggressive Behaviours, Aggressiveness

Accepted: 16/12/2022

Corresponding author:

Munich, Germany



Journal Homepage: https://riviste.unimi.it/index.php/dissertationnursing



Studio di Validazione

La versione italiana della CAre REcipient Behavior Assessment scale (Careba): validazione in italiano su pazienti con demenza

Giada Cocciolo¹

¹ Lmu Klinikum Grosshadren, Munich

Riscontri:

In questo studio viene tradotta e validate una scala (CAREBA) progettata per valutare l'aggressività della persona con demenza.

ABSTRACT

INTRODUZIONE:

La letteratura internazionale mostra che il 20-40% dei pazienti con deterioramento cognitivo, ricoverati in strutture a lungo termine, può reagire durante l'assistenza infermieristica con comportamenti aggressivi come urla, minacce, calci, pugni e graffi.

OBIETTIVO:

L'obiettivo di questo studio è quello di validare in italiano la "Care Recipient Behavior Assessment Scale" (CAREBA), uno strumento di valutazione progettato per misurare l'aggressività fisica e verbale della persona con demenza.

METODI:

La CAREBA è stata ritradotta attraverso uno studio osservazionale. È stato somministrato a un campione di pazienti affetti da demenza con comportamenti aggressivi fisici e/o verbali, in un ospedale del Nord Italia. Sono state valutate l'affidabilità e la validità.

RISULTATI:

La scala mostra una consistenza interna soddisfacente (Alpha di Cronbach = 0,79) e una validità di contenuto (CVI-S= 90%).

CONCLUSIONI:

La scala CAREBA è uno strumento valido e affidabile per rilevare l'aggressività nei pazienti con demenza.

KEYWORDS: Demenza, Infermiere, Valutazione, Comportamento Aggressivo, Aggressività

Milano University Press



JOURNAL HOMEPAGE: HTTPS://RIVISTE.UNIMI.IT/INDEX.PHP/DISSERTATIONNURSING



BACKGROUND

Within two years of a dementia diagnosis, 20% of patients experience psychobehavioral symptoms. As the condition progresses, this proportion rises to 80%. (1-3). Caregiver and medical staff find it difficult to control agitation and violence in dementia patients, which has an immediate negative impact on the staff members and the organization (4-6).

According to the research, 20–40% of patients with cognitive impairment who are admitted to long-term facilities may respond aggressively during nursing treatment, including yelling, threatening, kicking, punching, and scratching (7-9).

Currently, both pharmaceutical and nonpharmacological therapy are available to treat psychobehavioral disorders (10-12). According to studies, antipsychotics are used to treat agitation and violence in anywhere between 21% and 46% of situations (13-15). The most popular antipsychotics to agitation aggression lessen and atypical antipsychotics (16,17). However, most patients do not respond well to these drugs (18), which has negative effects (19,20), including an increased risk of falls and fractures (21), the onset of cerebrovascular accidents, a lower quality of life, and a higher mortality rate (22-25). The Care Recipient Behavior Assessment Scale (CAREBA scale), developed in 2004 by Sloane et al., allows for the evaluation of the patient's level of hostility (11). When assessing behavioral distress in a dementia patient, it takes hostile verbal and physical

behaviors into account. The CAREBA scale does not yet exist in Italian in Italy.

MATERIALS AND METHODS:

From June to October 2018, a study was carried out in two dementia-specific surgery rooms at a private hospital in Northern Italy. We enrolled individuals with dementia (both Alzheimer's disease and non-disease) with "moderate" or "severe" cognitive impairment, a Mini Mental State Examination score of 19 or above, a PAINAD score of 4, and a history of physically or verbally aggressive conduct,. Alzheimer's Excluded patients included those with delirium as their major diagnosis, those who were unconscious or comatose, those with alcohol-related dementia or psychotic illnesses as their primary diagnosis, those with an MMSE > 19 and "moderate" cognitive impairment, and those with PAINAD 4.

The hospital is not a member of any external bodies and does not have an internal ethics committee. In accordance with the ethical precepts of the Declaration of Helsinki, members of hospital leadership, including nurses, doctors, and managers, address ethical concerns. The study was carried out in accordance with hospital ethical guidelines, and all required approvals were received. Before starting the translation of the treatment recipient behavior rating scale (CAREBA scale), we obtained written permission from the author, who confirmed that no other Italian author was working on this instrument. The scale, shown in Appendix 1, has 9 items, each

15, | Milano University Press

73

Corresponding author:



JOURNAL HOMEPAGE: HTTPS://RIVISTE.UNIMI.IT/INDEX.PHP/DISSERTATIONNURSING

(CC) BY-NC-ND

corresponding to the patient's behavior, which may or may not be present. The scale is considered positive even with an "attempt" of aggressive behavior toward the operator. The scale was administered to all patients until reaching the sample size for the study we did literature indicating a minimum sample size of 100 patients to obtain a reliable factor analysis. This was necessary to obtain a reliable factor model as recommended by the reference textbooks (31). The scale was translated using the back-translation method in order to ensure cultural and linguistic overlap of the instrument. The translation was carried out in two distinct phases: in a first phase, the translation (from English to Italian) was carried out independently by two nurses (experts in this field and in possession of advanced certifications in English). The versions later compared two superimposable and there were no differences in content; this allowed the drafting of a single shared text that was later retranslated by a third English native speaker. The final retranslated version was sent original author who confirmed correspondence of content and the reliability of the translation to the original. Data collection was performed by a single nurse, who was the project manager and had direct contact with the author of the instrument.

At a later date, content and face validity of the CAREBA scale was tested by administering it to six nurses with 5 or more years of experience in this area. Therefore, the content validity index of the

instrument as a whole (CV-S) was calculated and the Content Validity Index of each subject (CVI-I) was evaluated (32). Cronbach's alpha was used to assess internal consistency. Exploratory factor analysis was used to study the scale construct, after checking for sample adequacy with the Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin measure and Bartlett's test of sphericity. The Varimax algorithm was used to rotate the factor model. The eigenvalues of the correlation matrix and factor loadings were maintained according to Kaiser's and Steven's criteria, respectively. All calculations were performed by a statistician using SAS software for MacOS.

RESULTS

Face validity was satisfactory: the scale had a content validity index (S-CVI) of 0.94. Internal consistency was good (Cronbach's Alpha 0.79). The average time to complete the CAREBA scale was less than 1 minute. Factor analysis showed only one factor, as in the original scale. All items on the scale have satisfactory loadings, meaning that each item on the instrument contributes substantially to describing the patient's situation. The analysis was initially conducted on all variables; because very few patients spit or threw objects during basic nursing care, the loadings of these two variables are small (0.17 and 0.22, respectively). The situations investigated by these two items may actually occur, so it makes sense to retain these two scale questions. From a mathematical point of view, the analysis is repeated by eliminating these two variables, obtaining for all remaining items a

Corresponding author:

Giada Cocciolo: giadacocciolo88@hotmail.com Lmu Klinikum Grosshadern Marchioninistrasse 15, Munich, Germany





Journal Homepage: https://riviste.unimi.it/index.php/dissertationnursing

(CC) BY-NC-ND

more than satisfactory result. Table 1 shows the loading coefficients, all of which are above the Stevens cut-off, which for this sample is 0.5204.

ITEM	LOADING
Colpire, spingere, graffiare	0.83248
Tirare calci	0.73004
Mordere	0.66688
Afferrare il caregiver	0.80604
Usare un linguaggio ostile/aggressivo	0.73842
Urlare/gridare	0.5699
Chiamare per aiuto/protestare/obiettare	0.52345

Table 1: Factor loadings after Varimax rotation

CONCLUSIONS

The aim of this study was to validate in Italian an instrument to detect aggression in patients with dementia. The CAREBA scale was translated using the back translation method and validated in Italian. We proceeded to the evaluation of the internal consistency: the Cronbach's Alpha value (0.79) indicates that there is a good correlation between the items of the scale and consequently a strong logical thread. This result is in favor of reliability, i.e. the reproducibility of the measure.

As far as the factorial analysis is concerned, all the items of the scale contribute to the achievement of its objectives: those that identify frequent situations give an essential contribution, from a mathematical point of view, to the definition of the patient's picture. The

two items "spitting" or "throwing objects" during basic nursing care are not assessable from a statistical point of view, but find confirmation in clinical practice and therefore appear worthy of inclusion in the instrument. The scale is easy, fast in compilation (less than one minute) and therefore a valid and reliable tool in the assessment of aggression even in patients with dementia. The scale is easy, fast in compilation (less than a minute) and therefore a valid and reliable tool in the evaluation of aggressiveness also in patients with dementia.

REFERENCES

- 1. Savva GM, Zaccai J, Matthews FE, Davidson JE, McKeith I, Brayne C, et al. Prevalence, correlates and course of behavioural and psychological symptoms of dementia in the population. Br J Psychiatry. 2009 Mar 1;194(3):212–9.
- 2. Geda YE, Schneider LS, Gitlin LN, Miller DS, Smith GS, Bell J, et al. Neuropsychiatric symptoms in Alzheimer's disease: Past progress and anticipation of the future. Alzheimers Dement. 2013 Sep;9(5):602–8.
- 3. Ismail Z, Smith EE, Geda Y, Sultzer D, Brodaty H, Smith G, et al. Neuropsychiatric symptoms as early manifestations of emergent dementia: Provisional diagnostic criteria for mild behavioral impairment. Alzheimers Dement. 2016 Feb;12(2):195–202.
- 4. Desai AK, Schwartz L, Grossberg GT. Behavioral Disturbance in Dementia. Curr Psychiatry Rep. 2012 Aug;14(4):298–309.
- 5. Skovdahl K, Kihlgren AL, Kihlgren M. Dementia and aggressiveness: stimulated recall interviews with caregivers after video-

Milano University Press



JOURNAL HOMEPAGE: HTTPS://RIVISTE.UNIMI.IT/INDEX.PHP/DISSERTATIONNURSING



- recorded interactions. J Clin Nurs. 2004 May;13(4):515-25.
- 6. Cheng S-T. Dementia Caregiver Burden: a Research Update and Critical Analysis. Curr Psychiatry Rep [Internet]. 2017 Sep [cited Available 29];19(9). http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s11920-017-0818-2
- 7. Rasin, Joyce PhD, RN; Barrick, Ann Louise PhD. Bathing Patients with Dementia: Concentrating on the patient's needs rather than merely the task. 2014 Mar;AJN, American Journal of Nursing March 2004-Volume 104-Issue 3-pp 30-32.
- 8. Hoeffer B, Talerico KA, Rasin J, Mitchell CM, Stewart BJ, McKenzie D, et al. Assisting cognitively impaired nursing home residents with bathing: effects of two bathing The interventions on caregiving. Gerontologist. 2006 Aug;46(4):524-32.
- 9. Boustani M, Zimmerman S, Williams CS, Gruber-Baldini AL, Watson L, Reed PS, et al. Characteristics associated with behavioral symptoms related to dementia in long-term care residents. The Gerontologist. 2005 Oct;45 Spec No 1(1):56-61.
- 10. Brodaty H, Arasaratnam C. Meta-analysis of nonpharmacological interventions for neuropsychiatric symptoms of dementia. Am J Psychiatry. 2012 Sep;169(9):946-53.
- 11. Sloane PD, Hoeffer B, Mitchell McKenzie DA, Barrick AL, Rader J, et al. Effect of person-centered showering and the towel bath on bathing-associated aggression, agitation, and discomfort in nursing home residents with dementia: a randomized, controlled trial. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2004 Nov;52(11):1795–804.
- 12. Cabrera E, Sutcliffe C, Verbeek H, Saks K, Soto-Martin M, Meyer G, et al. Nonpharmacological interventions as a best

- practice strategy in people with dementia living in nursing homes. A systematic review. Eur Geriatr Med. 2015 Apr;6(2):134-50.
- 13. Cammisuli DM, Danti S, Bosinelli F, Cipriani G. Non-pharmacological interventions for people with Alzheimer's Disease: A critical review of the scientific literature from the last ten vears. Eur Geriatr Med. 2016 Feb;7(1):57-64.
- 14. Mann E, Köpke S, Haastert B, Pitkälä K, Meyer G. Psychotropic medication use among nursing home residents in Austria: a crosssectional study. BMC Geriatr [Internet]. 2009 Dec [cited 2017 Oct 29];9(1). Available from: http://bmcgeriatr.biomedcentral.com/articles /10.1186/1471-2318-9-18
- 15. Schneider LS, Tariot PN, Dagerman KS, Davis SM, Hsiao JK, Ismail MS, et al. Effectiveness of Atypical Antipsychotic Drugs in Patients with Alzheimer's Disease. N Engl J Med. 2006 Oct 12;355(15):1525-38.
- 16. Richter T, Mann E, Meyer G, Haastert B, S. Prevalence of psychotropic medication use among German and Austrian nursing home residents: a comparison of 3 cohorts. J Am Med Dir Assoc. Feb;13(2):187.e7-187.e13.
- 17. Alexopoulos GS, Streim J, Carpenter D, Docherty JP, Expert Consensus Panel for Using Antipsychotic Drugs in Older Patients. Using antipsychotic agents in older patients. J Psychiatry. 2004;65 Suppl 2:5-99; discussion 100-102; quiz 103-104.
- 18. Daiello LA. Atypical antipsychotics for the treatment of dementia-related behaviors: an update. Med Health R I. 2007 Jun;90(6):191-
- 19. Howard R, McShane R, Lindesay J, Ritchie C, Baldwin A, Barber R, et al. Donepezil and Memantine for Moderate-to-Severe

Corresponding author: Giada Cocciolo: giadacocciolo88@hotmail.com

Munich, Germany

Lmu Klinikum Grosshadern Marchioninistrasse 15, Milano University Press



Journal Homepage: https://riviste.unimi.it/index.php/dissertationnursing



- Alzheimer's Disease. N Engl J Med. 2012 Mar 8;366(10):893–903.
- 20. Brauer R, Smeeth L, Anaya-Izquierdo K, Timmis A, Denaxas SC, Farrington CP, et al. Antipsychotic drugs and risks of myocardial infarction: a self-controlled case series study. Eur Heart J. 2015 Apr 21;36(16):984–92.
- 21. Hartikainen S, Lönnroos E, Louhivuori K. Medication as a risk factor for falls: critical systematic review. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. 2007 Oct;62(10):1172–81.
- 22. Bierman EJM, Comijs HC, Gundy CM, Sonnenberg C, Jonker C, Beekman ATF. The effect of chronic benzodiazepine use on cognitive functioning in older persons: good, bad or indifferent? Int J Geriatr Psychiatry. 2007 Dec;22(12):1194–200.
- 23. Ballard C, Creese B, Corbett A, Aarsland D. Atypical antipsychotics for the treatment of behavioral and psychological symptoms in dementia, with a particular focus on longer term outcomes and mortality. Expert Opin Drug Saf. 2011 Jan;10(1):35–43.
- 24. Kales HC, Kim HM, Zivin K, Valenstein M, Seyfried LS, Chiang C, et al. Risk of mortality among individual antipsychotics in patients with dementia. Am J Psychiatry. 2012 Jan;169(1):71–9.
- 25. Nielsen R-E, Lolk A, M Rodrigo-Domingo null, Valentin J-B, Andersen K. Antipsychotic treatment effects on cardiovascular, cancer, infection, and intentional self-harm as cause of death in patients with Alzheimer's dementia. Eur Psychiatry J Assoc Eur Psychiatr. 2017 May;42:14–23.
- 26. Maher AR, Maglione M, Bagley S, Suttorp M, Hu J-H, Ewing B, et al. Efficacy and

- Comparative Effectiveness of Atypical Antipsychotic Medications for Off-Label Uses in Adults: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. JAMA. 2011 Sep 28;306(12):1359.
- 27. Viggo Hansen N, Jørgensen T, Ørtenblad L. Massage and touch for dementia. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2006 Oct 18;(4):CD004989.
- 28. Holmes C, Hopkins V, Hensford C, MacLaughlin V, Wilkinson D, Rosenvinge H. Lavender oil as a treatment for agitated behaviour in severe dementia: a placebo controlled study. Int J Geriatr Psychiatry. 2002 Apr;17(4):305–8.
- 29. Fu C-Y, Moyle W, Cooke M. A randomised controlled trial of the use of aromatherapy and hand massage to reduce disruptive behaviour in people with dementia. BMC Complement Altern Med [Internet]. 2013 Dec [cited 2017 Oct 29];13(1). Available from: http://bmccomplementalternmed.biomedcen tral.com/articles/10.1186/1472-6882-13-165
- 30. Hsu MH, Flowerdew R, Parker M, Fachner J, Odell-Miller H. Individual music therapy for managing neuropsychiatric symptoms for people with dementia and their carers: a cluster randomised controlled feasibility study. BMC Geriatr. 2015 Jul 18;15:84.
- 31. Norman G, Streiner D (2015) Biostatistica quello che avreste voluto sapere. Casa Editrice Ambrosiana, Milano
- 32. Polit, D.F., Beck, C.T., Owen, SV. (2007). Is the CVI an acceptable indicator of content validity? Appraisal and recommendations. Res Nurs Health, 30(4):459–467.





Journal Homepage: https://riviste.unimi.it/index.php/dissertationnursing



APPENDIX 1: CARE RECIPIENT BEHAVIOR ASSESSMENT (CAREBA Scale) Italian Version

Questa scala è usata per valutare chiari segni di distress comportamentale nella persona ricevente le cure. Ogni comportamento verrà classificato in "SI", "NO", "TENTATIVO", "ENTRAMBI". Se due comportamenti avvengono simultaneamente valutare con "ENTRAMBI", se non c'è stato contatto con il caregiver valutare con "TENTATIVO". Se un comportamento non è chiaro, NON valutarlo.

Manifestazioni fisiche	Manifestazioni fisiche Possibili valutazioni			
COMPORTAMENTO (valutazioni)	Si	No	Tentativo	Entrambi
Colpire, spingere, graffiare (Si, No, Tentativo e Entrambi)				
Tirare calci (Si, No, Tentativo e Entrambi)				
Mordere (Si, No, Tentativo e Entrambi)				
Lanciare oggetti (Si, No, Tentativo)				
	Possibili valutazioni			
	Si	No		
Afferrare il caregiver (Si, No)				
Sputare (Si, No)				

Manifestazioni verbali		Possibili valutazioni		
COMPORTAMENTO (valutazioni)	Si	No		
Chiamare per aiuto/protestare/obiettare (Si, No)				
Usare un linguaggio ostile/aggressivo (Si, No)				
Urlare/gridare (Si, No)				

1. Manifestazioni fisiche

COMPORTAMENTO	DESCRIZIONE
Colpire, spingere, graffiare cc	Abusare fisicamente del caregiver con mani o oggetti a portata di mano o con altre parti del corto (es. testa, intero corpo), incluso spingere, spintonare, graffiare, pizzicare (deve esserci il contatto).
TENTATIVO di colpire, spingere, graffiare, ecc.	Agitare le braccia e le mani con forza verso il caregiver (verificare la presenza di segni di uno scherzo).
Tirare calci	Colpire energicamente con piedi o gambe (deve esserci il contatto).
TENTATIVO di tirare calci	Agitare gambe o piedi con forza verso il caregiver.
Mordere	Mordere, masticare (SOLO sul caregiver).
TENTATIVO di mordere	Muovere la faccia e aprire la bocca verso il caregiver per mordere
Afferrare il caregiver	Aggrapparsi al caregiver, afferrare il cagiver. Non valutare se il paziente si aggrappa al caregiver per ragioni di sicurezza. Se il comportamento non è chiaro non valutarlo.
Lanciare oggetti	Lanciare oggetti con forza, scagliare oggetti a terra.
Sputare	Sputare ma non per motivi di igiene dentale.

2. Manifestazioni verbali

COMPORTAMENTO	DESCRIZIONE
Chiamare per aiuto/protestare/obiettare	Dire "Mi stai facendo male", "Ahi", "Aiuto"ecc questo potrebbe includere espressioni di dolore, discomfort o insoddisfazione o chiamate di aiuto.
Usare un linguaggio ostile/aggressivo	Minacciare verbalmente il caregiver e di danneggiarlo fisicamente, per esempio "ti ammazzo", oppure minacciare il caregiver non in modo fisico, per esempio "parlerò a mio figlio di te". Devono essere usate parole. Usare parolacce (un linguaggio osceno o profano).
Urlare/gridare	<u>Chiaramente sopra il livello di conversazione</u> : urlare, gridare, incluse scenate rumorose, per esempio forti esclamazioni come "Oh!"oppure "Aiuto!" dovrebbero essere valutate come <i>urlare/gridare</i> e <i>protestare/obiettare</i> . Valutare "Aiuto" detto in maniera supplichevole come <i>protestare/obiettare</i> .

Corresponding author:

Giada Cocciolo: giadacocciolo88@hotmail.com Lmu Klinikum Grosshadern Marchioninistrasse 15, Munich, Germany



Submission received: 09/11/2022 End of Peer Review process: 12/12/2022 Accepted: 16/12/2022