



Keywords

Oral transmucosal,
Dexmedetomidine, Methadone,
Butorphanol, Dog

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR

Daniela Gioeni
daniela.gioeni@unimi.it

JOURNAL HOME PAGE

riviste.unimi.it/index.php/haf

Evaluation of an oral transmucosal administration of dexmedetomidine-butorphanol and dexmedetomidine-methadone in dogs

Daniela Gioeni^{1*}, Federica Di Cesare², Elisa S. D'urso¹,
Vanessa Rabbogliatti¹, Giuliano Ravasio¹

¹University of Milan, Department of Veterinary Medicine, Italy

²University of Milan, Department of Health, Animal Science and Food Safety, Italy

Abstract

Oral transmucosal (OTM) delivery is a simple and painless method for sedative administration in veterinary medicine and allows a rapid absorption without a first-pass metabolism by the liver (Porters *et al.*, 2014.). OTM is particularly useful in aggressive animals (Santos *et al.*, 2010). The aim of this study is to evaluate the efficacy of the OTM route in dogs for sedative administration in comparison with intramuscular (IM) injection. 24 mixed-breed dogs undergoing soft tissue surgery or diagnostic procedures were randomly divided in 4 groups (n = 6): two groups received OTM administration of dexmedetomidine (10 µg/kg-1) together with butorphanol (0.2 mg/kg-1, BTF-OTM group) or methadone (0.2mg/kg-1, MTD-OTM group); two groups received intramuscular (IM) administration of dexmedetomidine (5 µg/kg-1) together with butorphanol (0.2 m/kg-1, BTF-IM group) or methadone (0.2 mg/kg-1, MTD-IM). Heart rate (HR), respiratory rate (RR), sedation score (Grune *et al.*, 2009) and side effects were recorded 10 (T₁₀), 20 (T₂₀) and 30 (T₃₀) minutes after premedication. Induction was performed at T₃₀ with titrate-to-effect propofol administration and the dosage required was recorded. At each time point BTF-IM group showed a statistically lower HR compared to BTF-OTM; RR was statistically lower at T₁₀ in MTD-OTM group (21.33 ± 8.64 pm) compared to BTF-OTM (46.16 ± 17.98); Dogs in group MTD-IM reached a higher sedation scores at each time point compared to MTD-OTM. The induction dose of propofol appears comparable among groups. Marked vasoconstriction was observed after OTM administration, as probably related to α₂-agonists use. Emesis and sialorrhea occurred in two subjects of MTD-OTM group while only one dog presented sialorrhea in BTF-OTM group. In conclusion, OTM administration appears effective and easy to perform; it takes a longer time to achieve a good sedation score, probably related to a gradual absorption of drugs that also leads to a more gradual hemodynamic effects.

References

Gurney M., Cripps P., Mosing M. 2009. Subcutaneous pre-anaesthetic medication with acepromazine-buprenorphine is effective as and less painful than the intramuscular route. *Journal of Small Animal Practice*. 50, 474-477

Porters N., Bosmans T., Debille M. et al. 2014. Sedative and antinociceptive effects of dexmedetomidine and buprenorphine after oral transmucosal or intramuscular administration in cats. *Veterinary Anaesthesia and Analgesia*. 41, 90-96

Santos L.C.P., Ludders J.W., Erb H.N. et al. 2010. Sedative and cardiorespiratory effects of dexmedetomidine and buprenorphine administration to cats via oral transmucosal or intramuscular routes. *Veterinary Anaesthesia and Analgesia*. 37, 417-424